

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,517	HILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Mackey	1722	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) James Mackey.

(3) _____.

 (2) Salvatore Abbruzzese.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005
Time: 12 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
 32

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization was given to amend claim 32, as per the Examiner's Amendment, in order to delete the reference to a single tensioning roller in view of the subsequent recitation of a pair of tensioning rollers, and in order to clarify that the pair of tensioning rollers is for supporting said tube thereabout..